

WESTBROOK ELEMENTARY SCHOOL
GLENVIEW C C SCHOOL DIST 34
GLENVIEW, ILLINOIS



ILLINOIS
SCHOOL
REPORT
CARD

GRADES : PK K 1 2

State and federal laws require public school districts to release report cards to the public each year.

STUDENTS

RACIAL/ETHNIC BACKGROUND AND OTHER INFORMATION

	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Native American	Multi racial /Ethnic	Low- Income Rate	Limited- English- Proficient Rate	High Sch. Dropout Rate	Chronic Truancy Rate	Mobility Rate	Attendance Rate	Total Enrollment
School	66.3	5.4	12.7	15.5	0.2	0.0	14.1	13.1		0.0	17.4	94.7	498
District	74.3	2.7	11.5	11.4	0.1	0.0	13.8	13.2		0.1	7.7	95.6	4,130
State	56.7	20.3	18.3	3.7	0.2	0.7	40.0	6.6		2.2	16.1	93.9	2,062,912

Low-income students come from families receiving public aid; live in institutions for neglected or delinquent children; are supported in foster homes with public funds; or are eligible to receive free or reduced-price lunches.

Limited-English-proficient students are those students eligible for transitional bilingual programs.

Mobility rate is based on the number of times students enroll in or leave a school during the school year.

Chronic truants are students who are absent from school without valid cause for 18 or more of the last 180 school days.

INSTRUCTIONAL SETTING

PARENTAL CONTACT*

	Percent
School	100.0
District	99.7
State	95.7

AVERAGE CLASS SIZE (as of the first school day in May)

Grade	Grade	Grade	Grade	Grade	High
K	1	3	6	8	School
21.4	24.7				
20.9	23.8				
20.9	21.5				

STUDENT-TO-STAFF RATIOS

Pupil- Teacher Elementary	Pupil- Teacher Secondary	Pupil- Certified Staff	Pupil- Administrator
--	--	--	--
16.5		11.9	196.7
18.9		13.8	209.5

* Parental contact includes parent-teacher conferences, parental visits to school, school visits to home, telephone conversations, and written correspondence.

TEACHER INFORMATION (Full-Time Equivalents)

	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Native American	Male	Female	Total Number
District	94.9	1.0	1.2	2.9	0.0	14.7	85.3	298
State	84.3	9.9	4.5	1.2	0.2	23.5	76.5	128,079


TEACHER INFORMATION (Continued)

	Average Teaching Experience (Years)	% of Teachers with Bachelor's Degrees	% of Teachers with Master's & Above	% of Teachers with Emergency or Provisional Credentials	% of Classes Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers
School	--	--	--	0.0	0.0
District	11.6	30.8	69.2	0.8	0.0
State	13.6	50.1	49.1	1.9	1.9

Some teacher/administrator data are not collected at the school level.


SCHOOL DISTRICT FINANCES

TEACHER/ADMINISTRATOR SALARIES (Full-Time Equivalents)



Salaries and counts of staff are summed across a district based on the percentage of time that each individual is employed as a teacher or an administrator and may or may not reflect the actual paid salaries for the district.

EXPENDITURE BY FUNCTION 2003-04 (Percentages)



REVENUE BY SOURCE 2003-04				EXPENDITURE BY FUND 2003-04			
	District	District %	State %		District	District %	State %
Local Property Taxes	\$34,471,787	78.2	57.0	Education	\$34,136,247	77.3	71.5
Other Local Funding	\$4,113,204	9.3	5.0	Operations & Maintenance	\$3,532,973	8.0	8.4
General State Aid	\$1,074,594	2.4	18.0	Transportation	\$2,060,935	4.7	3.6
Other State Funding	\$3,221,102	7.3	11.9	Bond and Interest	\$1,661,612	3.8	6.5
Federal Funding	\$1,186,652	2.7	8.0	Rent	\$0	0.0	0.0
TOTAL	\$44,067,339			Municipal Retirement/ Social Security	\$1,045,163	2.4	1.6
				Fire Prevention & Safety	\$162,759	0.4	0.9
				Site & Construction/ Capital Improvement	\$1,546,307	3.5	7.5
				TOTAL	\$44,145,996		


OTHER FINANCIAL INDICATORS				
	2002 Equalized Assessed Valuation per Pupil	2002 Total School Tax Rate per \$100	2003-04 Instructional Expenditure per Pupil	2003-04 Operating Expenditure per Pupil
District	\$361,784	2.51	\$6,122	\$10,763
State	**	**	\$5,216	\$8,786

** Due to the way Illinois school districts are configured, state averages for equalized assessed valuation per pupil and total school tax rate per \$100 are not provided.
Equalized assessed valuation includes all computed property values upon which a district's local tax rate is calculated.
Total school tax rate is a district's total tax rate as it appears on local property tax bills.
Instructional expenditure per pupil includes the direct costs of teaching pupils or the interaction between teachers and pupils.
Operating expenditure per pupil includes the gross operating cost of a school district excluding summer school, adult education, bond principal retired, and capital expenditures.

ACADEMIC PERFORMANCE

OVERALL STUDENT PERFORMANCE

These charts present the overall percentages of state test scores categorized as meeting or exceeding the Illinois Learning Standards for your school, district, and the state. These performance measures include only those test scores for the grades and subjects (reading, mathematics and science) included in your school. The results for 2003-04 were recalculated to reflect only reading, mathematics and science.



PERFORMANCE ON STATE ASSESSMENTS

Federal law requires that student achievement results for reading, mathematics and science for schools providing Title I services be reported to the general public.

The Illinois Standards Achievement Test (ISAT) is administered to students in grades 3, 4, 5, 7, and 8. The Prairie State Achievement Examination (PSAE) is administered to students in grade 11. The Illinois Measure of Annual Growth in English (IMAGE) is administered to students in state-approved transitional bilingual programs. The Illinois Alternate Assessment (IAA) is administered to students with disabilities whose Individualized Education Programs (IEPs) indicate that participation in the ISAT or PSAE would not be appropriate.

Students with disabilities have an IEP (No Child Left Behind Act). An IEP is a written plan for a child with a disability who is eligible to receive special education services under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act.

Schools with grade 2 as the highest grade in the school use a state-adopted test in reading and mathematics to meet this requirement.

In order to protect students' identities, test data for groups of fewer than ten students are not reported.

PERCENTAGE OF STUDENTS NOT TESTED IN STATE TESTING PROGRAMS

		Gender			Racial/Ethnic Background						LEP	Migrant	Students with Disabilities	Economically Disadvantaged
		All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian/Pacific Islander	Native American	Multi racial /Ethnic				
School	*Enrollment	110	51	59	89	5	4	11	0	1	5	0	17	16
	Reading	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0			0.0					0.0	0.0
	Mathematics	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0			0.0					0.0	0.0
District	*Enrollment	1,449	769	680	1,097	41	150	159	0	2	158	0	208	227
	Reading	0.1	0.3	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.7	0.0			0.0		0.5	0.0
	Mathematics	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.7	0.0			0.6		0.5	0.0
State	*Enrollment	621,620	316,666	304,954	357,742	125,377	109,378	23,147	998	4,978	43,196	194	89,769	248,030
	Reading	0.6	0.7	0.5	0.3	1.4	0.7	0.4	0.9	0.6	0.7	0.0	1.2	0.8
	Mathematics	0.6	0.7	0.5	0.3	1.4	0.6	0.4	0.9	0.6	0.6	1.0	1.2	0.9

* Enrollment as reported by schools/districts during the testing window.

ILLINOIS GRADE 2 ASSESSMENT

The following table shows the percentages of student scores in each of two performance levels: Below Standards and Meets Standards. Since this test is administered in a limited number of schools (Title I schools with grade 2 as the highest grade), district- and state-level data are not computed or reported. Data for your school are presented in the table below. Due to rounding, the sum of the percentages in the two performance levels may not always equal 100.

Level 1 -- Below Standards - Student work demonstrates basic knowledge and skills in the subject. However, because of gaps in learning, students apply knowledge and skills in limited ways.

Level 2 -- Meets Standards - Student work demonstrates proficient knowledge and skills in the subject. Students effectively apply knowledge and skills to solve problems.

Grade 2**Grade 2 - All**

Levels	Reading		Mathematics	
	1	2	1	2
School	13.6	86.4	5.5	94.5

Grade 2 - Gender

Levels	Reading		Mathematics	
	1	2	1	2
Male School	19.6	80.4	5.9	94.1
Female School	8.5	91.5	5.1	94.9

Grade 2 - Racial/Ethnic Background

Levels	Reading		Mathematics	
	1	2	1	2
White School	10.1	89.9	4.5	95.5
Black School				
Hispanic School				
Asian/Pacific Islander School	27.3	72.7	9.1	90.9
Native American School				
Multiracial/Ethnic School				

Grade 2 - Students with Disabilities

Levels	Reading		Mathematics	
	1	2	1	2
IEP School	41.2	58.8	23.5	76.5
Non-IEP School	8.6	91.4	2.2	97.8

Grade 2 - Economically Disadvantaged

Levels	Reading		Mathematics	
	1	2	1	2
Free/Reduced Price Lunch School	43.8	56.3	18.8	81.3
Not Eligible School	8.5	91.5	3.2	96.8

2005 ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS (AYP) Status Report

Is this School making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)?	Yes	Has this school been identified for School Improvement according to the AYP specifications of the federal No Child Left Behind Act?	No
Is this School making AYP in Reading?	Yes	2005-06 Federal Improvement Status	
Is this School making AYP in Mathematics?	Yes	2005-06 State Improvement Status	

	Percent Tested on State Tests				Percent Meeting/Exceeding Standards *						Other Indicators			
	Reading		Mathematics		Reading			Mathematics			Attendance Rate		Graduation Rate	
	%	Met AYP	%	Met AYP	%	Safe Harbor Target **	Met AYP	%	Safe Harbor Target **	Met AYP	%	Met AYP	%	Met AYP
State AYP Minimum Target	95.0		95.0		47.5			47.5			89.0		67.0	
All	100.0	Yes	100.0	Yes	86.4		Yes	94.5		Yes	94.7	Yes		
White	100.0	Yes	100.0	Yes	89.9		Yes	95.5		Yes				
Black														
Hispanic														
Asian/Pacific Islander														
Native American														
Multiracial /Ethnic														
LEP														
Students with Disabilities														
Economically Disadvantaged														

Four Conditions Are Required For Making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

1. At least 95.0% tested for Reading and Mathematics for the All Group and Subgroups. If the current year's participation rates are less than 95%, the participation rate for AYP will be considered sufficient if the average of the current year and the preceding year is at least 95%, or if the average of the current year and the two preceding years is at least 95%. Only actual participation rates are printed. If the participation rate printed is less than 95% but 'Met AYP' is 'Yes', it means the 95% condition was met by averaging.
2. At least 47.5% Meeting/Exceeding Standards for reading and mathematics for the All and each subgroup; for subgroups under the 47.5% Meeting/Exceeding requirement, a 95% confidence interval has been applied; or meet Safe Harbor requirements.***
3. For those schools not making AYP because of the IEP subgroup only, 14% was added to the percent Meeting/Exceeding Standards for this subgroup to calculate AYP as provided by the new federal 2% flexibility.
4. At least 89.0% Attendance Rate for non-high schools or at least 67.0% Graduation Rate for high schools

* Includes only students enrolled as of 9/30/2004.

** Safe Harbor Targets of 47.5% or above are not printed.

*** Subgroups with fewer than 45 students are not reported. Safe harbor only applies to subgroups of 45 or more. In order for safe harbor to apply, a subgroup must decrease by 10% the percentage of scores that did not meet state standards from the previous year plus meet the other indicators (attendance rate for non-high schools and graduation rate for high schools) for the subgroup. Safe harbor allows schools an alternate method to meet subgroup minimum targets on achievement.

PLANNED IMPROVEMENT FOR THE SCHOOL AND DISTRICT

This is the final section of the school report card in which your school and district provide information below on areas of success and areas for planned improvement based on your school's improvement plan.

(For report cards disseminated electronically, this information may be provided in a separate document due to differences in the software used. If you are unable to locate this document, please contact your local school or district office.)

Westbrook School
School Improvement Plan Summary
2005-06

Overview

Westbrook's School Improvement Plan outlines the actions we will take this year to improve student achievement. Our School Improvement Plan guides our decisions about resources, staff development, and instruction. To identify our goals, the Westbrook staff uses data from a variety of formal and informal assessments, including the Illinois Snapshots of Early Literacy, Dynamic Indicators of Basic Early Literacy Skills, the Terra Nova, and curriculum-based measurements. Based on data, we have identified two primary goals: the improvement of reading comprehension and the development of positive citizenship.

Reading Comprehension

Based on last year's school improvement data, the number of students identified as "at-risk" in literacy from Fall to Spring decreased. To further improve our students' reading comprehension, we are focusing on differentiation of instruction. We are continuing to use data to plan lessons and monitor learning. Students who are struggling may receive additional reading support outlined in a Student Learning Plan.

Good Citizenship

Last year, the Westbrook Staff used a problem-solving model to design a plan for developing positive citizenship. Both Character Counts and the Skillstreaming program are used to explicitly teach students about good citizenship and positive behaviors. As a staff, we implemented a school-wide compliment program that honors students when they demonstrate the Character Counts pillars and use the skills we have explicitly taught. Data collected last year from recess interventions indicated a reduction of recess conflicts by 80%.